

## Wikipedia's Notability Guidelines<sup>1</sup> and Their Quantification For Allowing a Manual Assessment of Potential Emerging Entities:

A candidate entity is notable for Wikipedia, if it fulfills the following criteria w.r.t. a common source document:

Quoted Item from the Wikipedia Notability Guidelines	Quantification By Us
<p>“Significant coverage’ addresses the topic directly and in detail, so that no original research is needed to extract the content. Significant coverage is more than a trivial mention, but it does not need to be the main topic of the source material.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The book-length history of IBM by Robert Sobel is plainly non-trivial coverage of IBM.</li> <li>• Martin Walker's statement, in a newspaper article about Bill Clinton, that ‘In high school, he was part of a jazz band called Three Blind Mice’ is plainly a trivial mention of that band.”</li> </ul>	<p>If the entity mentioned in the source document (in IJS newsfeed or any website found via Google Search) is really related to the topic of the document (i.e., not mentioned just in passing), then the entity fulfills this criterion.</p>
<p>“Reliable’ means sources need editorial integrity to allow verifiable evaluation of notability, per the reliable source guideline. Sources may encompass published works in all forms and media, and in any language. Availability of secondary sources covering the subject is a good test for notability.”</p>	<p>If the source is a news article, the criterion is fulfilled; if it is an opinion made public (e.g., blog entry), the criterion is not fulfilled for this source and another source needs to be checked (which also fulfills the other criteria).</p>
<p>“Sources’ should be secondary sources, as those provide the most objective evidence of notability. There is no fixed number of sources required since sources vary in quality and depth of coverage, but multiple sources are generally expected. Sources do not have to be available online or written in English. Multiple publications from the same author or organization are usually regarded as a single source for the purposes of establishing notability.”</p>	<p>If the source document is a secondary source (i.e., no primary sources and no tertiary sources), this criterion is fulfilled.</p>
<p>“Independent of the subject’ excludes works produced by the article's subject or someone affiliated with it. For example, advertising, press releases, autobiographies, and the subject's website are not considered independent.”</p>	<p>This criterion is fulfilled as long as the source document (which contains the considered entity) is independent of the subject, in the sense that it is no advertising, press release, autobiography, and subject's website.</p>
<p>“Presumed’ means that significant coverage in reliable sources creates an assumption, not a guarantee, that a subject should be included. A more in-depth discussion might conclude that the topic actually should not have a stand-alone article—perhaps because it violates what Wikipedia is not, particularly the rule that Wikipedia is not an indiscriminate collection of information.”</p>	<p>This criterion is fulfilled if the Wikipedia page of the entity would not only consist of a listing (dictionary, statistical values), but with a text describing the context of the entity.</p>

<sup>1</sup> See <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Wikipedia:Notability>.